प्रेरित किया, उकसाया और उसे धोखे में रखकर यहां लाया गया और उसके तमाम चित्र खीचे गए यह कह कर कि हम एक सीरियल बना रहे हैं। श्रीमन्, मैं आपके माध्यम से सरकार से मांग करता हूं कि **...(व्यवधान)...**

श्री सभापति: कौशिक जी, इस मामले को लोक सभा देख रही है।

श्री रमा शंकर कौशिक: श्रीमन्, वह तो ठीक है लेकिन हम लोगों की भी सुरक्षा का सवाल है। संसद भवन की सुरक्षा के सवाल के साथ-साथ संसद सदस्यों की सुरक्षा का सवाल **...(व्यवधान)...**

श्री सभापति: लोक सभा द्वारा इस केस को देखा जा रहा है।

श्री रमा शंकर कौशिक: श्रीमन्, सरकार तो वही है, सरकार इस बात को देखे। अगर यह बात यहां भी उठी है तो पार्लियामेंट्री एफेयर्स मिनिस्टर बैठे हैं, वे कृपा करके कहें इस बात को।

श्री सभापति: ठीक है। Now, Special Mentions.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN (Tamil Nadu): Sir,

MR. CHAIRMAN: What?

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: Sir, I want to say something about Cauvery.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Cauvery! Is the other party there or not? *...(Interruptions)...*

Need to devise a distress sharing formula on failure of monsoon and release of Cauvery Water to the state of Tamil Nadu

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I strongly condemn the adamant attitude of the Karnataka Government in sabotaging the distress sharing formula.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Do you want to take advantage of their absence?

SHRI N. JOTHI (Tamil Nadu): This is the only advantage we can take. They are holding water; they are not releasing it to our State. So, let us have this advantage, at least.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN : Sir, whenever the Monsoon fails, the Karnataka Government has been thwarting the Centre's move to devise a distress sharing formula on one pretext or the other. Why do they sabotage? A delay in finalising the distress sharing formula will only help Karnataka. Karnataka has been treating the Cauvery as a drainage canal and is releasing water to Tamil Nadu only when there are floods and their reservoirs cannot hold any more water. Tamil Nadu has already lost crops for two successive years. The Central Government should not side with Karnataka on this issue, which is a life and death issue in Tamil Nadu. If this trend or culture of openly siding with Karnataka continues, this could pose a threat to the unity and integrity of the country. The Centre may not have a stake in Tamil Nadu. But they should have a stake in the unity and integrity of the country. So, I urge upon the Centre to prevail upon the Karnataka Government to accept the distress sharing formula and release our rightful share of Cauvery water without any further delay.

[THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair]

Madam, this is a very serious issue. *...(Interruptions)....* We would like to have a response from the Government because we have already lost our.. *.(Interruptions)....*

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You are very lucky. *...(Interruptions)...* You do not have anybody opposing it. *...(Interruptions)...* Fortunately; you are taking advantage of it. *...(Interruptions)....*

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: Madam, the Government can respond. *....(Interruptions)...* This is a very serious issue. *...(Interruptions)...*

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Government may respond, please. *...(Interruptions)...*

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL): Madam, the concern expressed by the hon. Member will be duly conveyed to the Government. *...(Interrvptions)...*

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is the. only response. *...(Interruptions)...* The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs can give this much assurance that he would *'very* sincerely and honestly communicate your sentiments which you have expressed on the floor of the House to the Minister concerned... *(Interruptions)...* That he can assure you. *...(Interruptions)...* The rest depends on *...(Interruptions)...*

DR. V. MAITREYAN (Tamil Nadu): Madam, the Members from that side will express their sentiments very soon. *...(Interruptions)...*

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: They are not there, so you should *be...(Interruptions)...*

SHRI N. JOTHI: Madam, the problem is both the BJP and the Congress have their stake in Karnataka. *...(Interruptions)...* But they do not have any such stake in Tamil Nadu. *...(Interruptions)...* The national parties have their stake in Karnataka. *...(Interruptions)...* They will not trouble them. *...(Interruptions)...* This is the only reason. *...(Interruptions)...* This is the real reason.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: They are siding with Karnataka.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: What I would like to say is, whether anybody has any stake or not, I am not making any comment on it. *...(Interruptions)...* But I can definitely see a thing that there is a combination of both the DMK and the Anna DMK. *...(Interruptions)...* They have cooperation and collaboration with each other. That is something very good. Now, shall I move to the next item? *...(Interruptions)...*

SHRI C.P. THIRUNAVUKKARASU (Pondicherry): Madam. I associate myself with what has been said here. *...(Interruptions)...* Madam, I would also like to make a submission *...(Interruptions)...* Madam, I am from Pondicherry. *...(Interruptions)...* They are bound to release 1.68 TMC water to Pondicherry. *...(Interruptions)..'.* But they are not releasing that water to us. *...(Interruptions)...* They should release that water to us.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Okay. ...*(Interruptions)*... This is for the first time that the Cauvery is being discussed in this House when there is no flood, because whenever the Cauvery comes, I really do not know how to put a *Baandh* on it to stop it. ...*(Interruptions)*... Now, Special Mentions.

SPECIAL MENTIONS

Need for uniform Civil Code based on gender justice

SHRIMATI SHABANA AZMI (Nominated): Madam, I rise in support of a uniform Civil Code based on gender justice. Personal laws of all religions discriminate against women on matters of inheritance, divorce, maintenance, etc. Let us call out just and equitable laws of all religions and have a blueprint that ensures justice for all women. This should be discussed threadbare at the national level. However, this cannot be the Hindu Code imposed uniformly on all religions. Polygamy and Triple *Talaq* are unjust to women just as the advantage to the male members *6i* the Hindu undivided family in property matters is unjust to women. Both will have to be dropped. It is argued that minorities resist uniform Civil Code because they are reluctant to integrate with the mainstream. This is patently absurd. Does uniformity undermine or promote unity? The function of laws is to promote freedom and ensure justice. National Integration can occur when minorities feel secure and have access to jobs, when their life and property is not jeopardised. Each time a communal riot is engineered when the guilty get punished and justice is perceived to be done. However, women have waited for 56 long years for gender justice guaranteed under article 15 of the Constitution. This has eluded them because of discriminatory personal laws of all religions. If a uniform Civil Code can guarantee them this justice, let us go ahead and demand a national debate with all stakeholders. In the absence of a blueprint no discussion can take place. Where is this blueprint? Thank you.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN (Tamil Nadu): Madam, I associate myself with the views expressed by Smt. Shabana Azmi. Thank you.

उपसभापति: अभी आप उनके स्पेशल मेशन की अंडरलाइनिंग नहीं देख रहे हैं कि क्या है । You are only looking at the surface of it. Look at what she has said. She said that gender justice is not being maintained in any law - whether it is civil or criminal. It is always the women who suffer in every religious law.